

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61 STREET NEW YORK NY 10023

COPY MAILED

ON PETITION

In re Application of Motoyuki Fujimori Application No. 09/912,070 Filed: July 24, 2001

DEC 0 4 2006

Attorney Docket No. U 013566-9

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, filed November 2, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(b) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Petition under 37 CFR 1.137." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-referenced application was held abandoned on March 6, 2003, for failure to file drawing corrections required by a Final Office Action mailed December 5, 2002. The Office Action set a three (3)-month statutory period for reply. In response to the Final Office Action, an amendment and a one month extension of time were filed on April 9, 2003 (certificate of mail date April 4, 2003). The extension of time extended the time for response from March 6, 2003 to April 6, 2003, however the response filed April 9, 2003 did not include proper drawing corrections. Thus, the amendment filed April 9, 2003 was not responsive to the Office Action and the application was appropriately abandoned. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed April 23, 2003.

The petition does not satisfy requirement (1) under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The application became abandoned for failure to file a response within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection of December 5, 2002, within the time period for response. The only proper reply to a final Office action is an amendment placing the application in prima facie condition for allowance, a Notice of Appeal accompanied by the requisite fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) accompanied by a proper submission, or a continuing application. Since the amendment submitted April 9, 2003 has been referred to the examiner, who has indicated that the amendment does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance, the response required for a renewed petition must be a Notice of Appeal and requisite fee, or the filing of a continuing application under the terms set forth in 1031 O.G. 11.

Petitioner must submit a proper reply to the final Office action mailed on December 5. 2002, with any renewed petition. Petitioner should note that submission of any renewed petition without the required reply will be construed as intentional delay.

A courtesy copy of an Advisory Action is included.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned

Retitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/912,070	FUJIMORI, MOTOYUKI	FUJIMORI, MOTOYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Andrew T. Sever	2851			

•	Laminer	Air oine	
	Andrew T. Sever	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 April 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consid because:	,		
Applicant argues that the amendment places the claims entered since it requires further consideration and/or se regards to claim 9, specifically the 35 USC 112 rejection withdrawn, however claim 9 remains rejected under 35 have been addressed elsewhere.	arch (see above). Accordingly the n, applicant's arguments are found	se arguments are mo persuasive and the re	oot. With ejection will be
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20061129

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 1 to claim that that the inner case is covered with one of the outer cases instead of blocked by one of the outer cases. The terms covered and blocked are not necessarily synonyms and therefore the new term requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant should note the US 6,742,900 claim 1 includes similar language to claim 1 of the present application and accordingly the present applicant potentially could be subjected to double patenting.